This paper not to be cited without prior reference to the authors

C. M. 1980/C:10 Hydrography Committee



Some results on the energy transfer from the wind to the water during BOSEX 77

by

T.G. Jensen and G. Kullenberg

Institute of Physical Oceanography, University of Copenhagen Haraldsgade 6, 2200 Copenhagen N., Denmark

Abstract

During BOSEX 77 a sharp drop of the temperature as well as considerable deepening of the mixed layer was observed during the passage of a storm. These observations together with current meter data and wind data are used to study the efficiency of the mechanical mixing induced by the wind relative to the totally available wind energy at about 10 m above the sea surface.

Problem statement

Vertical mixing in the sea is generally very weak due to the dominating stable stratification (see e.g. Kullenberg 1974). For the surface layer the mechanical energy input from the wind, directly or via the waves, is often crucial for generating vertical mixing during the warm seasons. It has been established that only a small fraction of the energy input from the wind is consumed for vertical mixing (e.g. Turner 1959; Denman and Miyake 1973; Kullenberg 1976). This is also in agreement with laboratory experiments (e.g. Turner 1973). It seems reasonable to expect that the strongest wind action occurs during storms and passages of meteorological fronts. The purpose of this note is to investigate the efficiency of wind-generated mixing during the passage of a storm using observations obtained during the Baltic Open Sea Experiment in September 1977, referred to as BOSEX 77. The vertical mixing during weak wind conditions was also investigated during BOSEX 77 by means of dye diffusion experiments. Thus conditions under fairly extreme situations can be compared.

Theoretical background

In the mixed layer below the wave zone horizontal homogeneity of the fluctuating fields may be assumed, implying that the mechanical energy equation can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\overline{E^{\dagger}}^{2}}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(w^{\dagger} \left(\frac{p^{\dagger}}{\rho_{0}} + \frac{\overline{E^{\dagger}}^{2}}{2} \right) \right) + \overline{w^{\dagger}} \overline{v^{\dagger}} \cdot \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial z} + g \frac{\overline{\rho^{\dagger}} w^{\dagger}}{\rho_{0}} + e = 0$$
(1)

Here $\frac{1}{2} E^{1/2}$ is the fluctuating kinetic energy per unit mass, w', p'and the ρ' fluctuating parts of the vertical velocity, pressure and density, respectively, ρ_0 is the mean density, \vec{v} is the horizontal velocity vector with the fluctuating part \vec{v}' , g is acceleration of gravity and ϵ is the rate of energy dissipation per unit mass. Absorption of solar radiation has been neglected.

The second term is the kinetic energy flux caused by vertical divergence, the third term gives the production of turbulent energy by interactions between the mean shear and the fluctuating velocity fields, and the fourth term gives the change of potential energy. Using the K-theory approximation this term may be written in the form

$$g \cdot \frac{\rho' w'}{\rho_0} = \frac{-g}{\rho_0} K \frac{d\rho}{dz} = K N^2$$
 (2a)

where N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency.

Similarly the production term may be written in the form

$$\overline{u'w'}\frac{dU}{dz} = K_{m} \cdot \left(\frac{dU}{dz}\right)^{2}$$
(2b)

where K_m is the turbulent momentum transfer coefficient, and u', U are the fluctuating and mean velocities, respectively, in the x-direction, assuming mean motion in this direction only.

The time required for redistribution of turbulent kinetic energy in the mixed layer is small compared to the time over which the energy input from the wind changes, implying that a steady state may be considered.

Niiler (1975) suggested that away from boundaries a balance exist between the divergence, the buoyancy and the dissipation term. However, at the base of the mixed layer some production of turbulent energy will take place due to the shear there. A balance which is often assumed is between the production, buoyancy and dissipation terms, for instance analysed by Townsend (1958) in the atmospheric boundary layer.

Deepening of the mixed layer in the stably stratified case occurs through entrainment of fluid from the lower layer into the mixed layer due to well developed turbulence in the upper layer (see e.g. Phillips 1977, Turner 1973). The entrainment process appears to be dominating during high (storm) wind speeds. By means of a simple energy argument (e.g. Turner 1973) one finds that

$$u_{e} = c \cdot u_{x} \cdot Ri_{x}^{-1}$$
(3)

where u_e and u_e are the entrainment and friction velocities, respectively, $\operatorname{Ri}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is overall Richardson number and c a constant. Kato and Phillips (1959) found $c \simeq 2.5$ from laboratory experiments. The rate of deepening of the mixed layer varies with time, in the early stage being proportional to $t^{1/2}$, after about one inertial period decreasing to $t^{1/3}$. These regimes have been found theoretically and to some extend confirmed experimentally (Kraus and Turner 1957, Pollard, Rhines and Thompson 1973, de Scoeke and Rhines 1975, Kundu 1980). Most models, however, has as initial conditions a fluid at rest with a constant slope density profile and a well-defined onset time of the wind stress or stirring, which also is treated as constant. In the sea these conditions are never met, and mostly the initial conditions are not even known.

It is clearly of interest to analyse individual storm cases in order to obtain more field information on the entrainment process and the efficiency of strong winds to generate vertical mixing in stably stratified conditions. Here we study the change of potential energy relative to the mechanical energy available in the wind field at about 10 m above the sea surface. The rate of work per unit area by the wind stress at the 10 m level is written in the form

$$E_{10} = \tau_0 W_{10} = c_d \rho_a W_{10}^3$$
(4)

The energy input per unit time and area from the wind can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{k} \, \tau_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{10}} = \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \tau_{\mathbf{0}} \tag{5}$$

Here W_{10} is the wind speed, τ_0 the wind stress, c_d the drag coefficient ρ_a the density of the air, k the windfactor, and k_1 a numerical constant.

The potential energy per unit area of the water column is defined as

$$E_{\text{pot}} = g \int_{-D}^{O} (\rho - \overline{\rho}) (z + D) dz$$
(6)

where $\overline{\rho}$ is the mean reference density, and D the water depth. The value of E_{pot} is negative in stable conditions and zero for the totally mixed water column. The change of potential energy and the work by the wind over a period of time T are found by integration of (6) and (4), respectively, and the ratio R_1 becomes

$$R_{1} = \frac{\frac{g}{T} \int_{D}^{0} \left[p(t_{1}) - \overline{p}(t_{1}) \right] (z+D) dz - \frac{g}{T} \int_{-D}^{0} \left[p(t_{2}) - \overline{p}(t_{2}) \right] (z+D) dz}{\frac{1}{T} \rho_{a} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c_{d} W_{10}^{3} dt} =$$

(7)

$$=\frac{\underbrace{\underline{g}}_{\mathrm{T}} \int_{1}^{t_{2}} \int_{-\mathrm{D}}^{\circ} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\rho(t') - \overline{\rho(t')} \right] \cdot (z + \mathrm{D}) \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{T}} \rho_{a} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c_{\mathrm{d}} \, W_{10}^{3} \, \mathrm{d}t}$$

3.

The aim is to determine this ratio from the observations.

The local flux Richardson number Rf is defined as the ratio between the potential energy change generated by the mechanical mixing and the total turbulent energy available, i.e.

$$Rf = \frac{\Delta E_{pot}}{E_{T}} = \frac{KN^{2}}{K_{m}(\frac{dU}{dz})} = \frac{K}{K_{m}} \cdot Ri$$
(8)

where E_T is the total turbulent energy avaiable and Ri is the gradiant Richardson number. This formulation assumes that the only production of turbulence occurs through the interactions between the mean shear and the fluctuating velocities. In the present case we may assume that the energy input from the wind E is the source of turbulent energy so that $E = E_T$. Taking the mean of ΔE_{pot} and E_t over some time period and assuming that the wind factor k is constant over this period we find

$$Rf \simeq R_{1}/k$$

where Rf_c is the critical flux Richardson number, i.d. the maximum value of Rf.

Data and results

During BOSEX 77 three Danish current meter stations were operated over the period 7 to 19 September (Table 1) which will be used for the present study. The time period analysed here is from 2 a.m. on 12 September to 2 a.m. on 14 September during which a storm passed the area (Table 2). The current speeds at 20, 30 and 40 m nominal depths of station 611 are shown in Figs. 1 - 3, with a direction record in Fig. 4. The recording interval was 10 minutes.

The temperature record (Fig. 5) shows a relatively rapid decrease of temperature in the upper layer and a rise in the intermediate layer, demonstrating the combined effect of atmospheric cooling, entrainment and diffusion in the water. Real time temperature was used in the calculations. However, horizontal advection changed the salinity field with the inertial period (Fig. 5) especially in the lower layer. For this reason the mean salinity during the period was used in the calculations. The change in potential energy was calculated over different periods T, ranging from 8 to 48 hours. The wind observations from R/V Alkor were used (Table 2) and, separately, the wind speeds observed by R/V Poscidon. These were considerably higher than those from R/V Alkor, implying an increase of E_{10} by a factor of 2.5. The values of the ratio R, based on the Alkor wind data are shown in Fig. 7 for different integration periods, and using $\rho_a = 1.25 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ and $c_d = (0.75 + 0.067 W_{10})$. Using wind data from Poseidon the values of R_1 are reduced by a factor of 2.5.

The absolute values of R_1 (Fig. 7) should be taken with much precaution. Besides the uncertainty regarding the wind speed, discussed above, errors in defining the layers may cause an error in the calculated energy change, especially for small integration periods T. However, the variation of R_1 with time (period of integration T) is similar at the 3 stations and should be real. The temperature records show a maximum rate of decrease of temperature in the upper layer for the period 8 - 12 hours ofter the start of the storm. Considering that the inertial period is about 14 hours this might indicate the time when the rate of entrainment decreases as predicted by various theoretical models, e.g. de Szoeke and Rhines (1976).

The method of using progressively longer periods of integration (or averaging) will tend to decrease the fluctuations of R_1 . Alternatively a fixed period may be used (Fig.8), where 12 hours integration time was used throughout. The maximum again comes out for all three stations at approximately the same time as before.

The average values are 2.5, 3.3 and 4.5, all times 10^{-3} , for stations 610,611,612, respectively, whereas the other method gave the mean values, 2.2, 3.4 and 3.2 \cdot 10⁻³, respectively. It is noticed that the long time values, 35 to 48 hours, which are the most reliable, show a relatively small scatter (Figs. 7 and 8).

Kundu (1980) found a variation with time of R_1 , the maximum value being $2.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$, for larger times decreasing to a constant value of $1.25 \cdot 10^{-3}$. This yields a ratio of maximum to mean of 1.6. In the present case this ratio is 1.4 - 1.8 for the first method of integration and 1.7 - 2.4 for the second.

Other reported values of R_1 are in the range $(0.8 - 2.9) \cdot 10^{-3}$, based on laboratory experiments as well as field studies (Kraus and Turner 1957, Kato and Phillips 1969, Denman and Miyake 1973, Kullenberg 1976).

The present R_1 values show a similar time behaviour as found by Kundu (1980). The response of the sea seems in the present case to be somewhat slower than in the model case studied by Kundu. This could, however, be due to the difficulty in defining the onset time of the storm, and could also be related to the difference in initial conditions between model and reality.

Finally the value of the critical flux Richardson number based on the relation $Rf_c = Ri/k$ is found to be in the range 0.09 - 0.18, using $k=2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and the range of mean values of Ri. This range for Rf_c agrees quite well with what has been reported in the literature (e.g. Turner 1973, Bo Pedersen 1980).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Danish Environmental Protection Agency through the use of R/V Martin Knudsen is acknowledged as well as good cooperation with the crew of the ship.

References

- Denman, K.L. and M. Miyake, 1973. Upper layer modification at ocean station Papa: Observations and simulations. J.Phys. Oceanog., 3(2), p. 185-196.
- Kato, H. and O.M. Phillips, 1969. On the penetration of a turbulent layer into stratified fluid. J.Fluid Mech., 37, p. 643-655.
- Kraus, E.B. and J.S. Turner, 1967. A one-dimensional model of the seasonal thermocline, Part II. Tellus 19, p. 98-105.
- Krauss, W., 1978. Inertial waves and mixing in the thermocline (BOSEX - Results). Proceedings at the XI Conference of Baltic Oceanographers, paper No. 56, p.709-728.
- Kullenberg, G.E.B., 1974. An experimental and theoretical investigation of the turbulent diffusion in the upper layer of the sea. Rep. 25, Inst. Phys. Oceanog. University of Copenhagen, 272 pp.
- Kullenberg, G.E.B., 1976. On vertical mixing and the energy transfer from the wind to the water. Tellus, 28(2), p. 159-165.
- Kundu, P.K., 1980. A numerical investigation of Mixed-Layer Dynamics. J. Phys. Oceanog., 10(2), p. 220-235.
- Niiler, P.P., 1975. Deepening of the wind-mixed layer. J.Mar.Res. 33, p. 405-422.
- Pedersen, Flemming Bo, 1980. A Monograph on turbulent entrainment and friction in two-layer stratified flow. Ser. Paper 25, ISVA, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby.
- Phillips, O.M., 1977, The dynamics of the upper ocean, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 336 pp.
- Pollard, R.T., P.B. Rhines and R.O.R.Y. Thompson, 1973, The deepening of the wind-mixed layer. Geophys. Fluid Dyn. 3, p. 381-404. x)
- Townsend, A.A., 1958. Turbulent flow in a stably stratified atmosphere. J.Fluid Mech. 3(4), p. 361-372.
- Turner, J. S., 1969. A note on wind mixing at the seasonal thermocline. Deep-Sea Res. 16, suppl., p. 297-300.

Turner, J. S., 1973. Buoyancy effects in fluids. Cambridge University Press, 366 pp.

x)

de Szoeke, R.A. and P.B. Rhines, 1976. Asymptotic regimes in mixed-layer deepening. J.Mar.Res., 34(1), p. 111-116.

Station no.	Latitude	Longitude	Mean Depths of Current meters (m)	Depth (m)	Period of opera- tion
610	55 [°] 50' א	18 ⁰ 15' Е	22, 32,43, 58,69	78	77 C 906/10.10am- 770920/ 2.00p.m.
611	55 [°] 51'N	18 ⁰ 44' E	17, 27, 37, 85, 96	109	770906/ 1.10p.m 770920/ 2.00p.m.
612	55°59'N	18 ⁰ 31' Е	12, 22, 33, 80, 92	106	770906/ 3.40p.m 770919/12.00

Table 1 Aanderaa current meter measurements during BOSEX 77.

Table 2 Wind conditions during BOSEX 77 * The windspeed was given in Beaufort scale ** From Krauss 1978.

Time	R/V "A	LKOR'' ¥ R/V	"POSEIDON" XX
	direction	m/s	m/s
770912/ 4.00 am	SSW	6.5	
8.00 am	SW	12.5	
9.00 am			11
12.00	W	19	26
4.00p.m.	NNW	15.5	30
6.00p.m.			28
8.00p.m.	N	19	24
770913/ 0.00	NW	12.5	20
4.00 am	NW	12.5	
8.00 am	NW	14	
12.00	NW	14	16
4.00p.m.	NW	14	
8.00p.m.	NW	12.5	
770044/0000	Sugar Strate		
(10914/ 0.00	IN W	12.5	15



BOSEX-2 South Station 611



